

**MINUTES**

March 22, 2021

3:00 – 5:00 PM

**Present:** Phil Warsaba, Chair, Vice-Provost & Associate Vice-President, Students  
Carly Bullough, Vice-Chair, Student Representative  
Meagan Bowler, Deans' Council Representative  
Brian Nichols, Academic Staff Member - Arts  
Denise Chenger, Academic Staff Member - Business and Communication Studies  
Melanie Rathburn, Academic Staff Member - Science and Technology  
Sara Sharun, Academic Staff Member - University Library  
Steve Kootenay-Jobin, Iniskim Centre Representative  
Cynthia Gallop, Academic Staff Member - Teaching and Learning  
Michelle LoGullo, MRSA President, Ex Officio  
Camille Tabacla, Student Representative  
Rebecca Bowman, Designate for Executive Director, Development (Resource)  
Angela Spinner, Recording Secretary

**Regrets:** Marty Clark, Academic Staff Member - Health, Community and Education  
Sarah Micho, Student Representative  
Geri Lynn Gouglas, Registrar Designate (Resource)

**Guest:** Chris Rogerson, Consultant

**1.0 Approval of the Agenda for March 22, 2021**

Moved by Meagan Bowler; seconded by Carly Bullough:

THAT the Student Affairs Committee approve the March 22, 2021 agenda as distributed.

**Carried.**

**2.0 Approval of the Student Affairs Committee Minutes for February 22, 2021  
[attachment]**

Moved by Steve Kootenay-Jobin; seconded by Brian Nichols:

THAT the Student Affairs Committee approve the February 22, 2021 minutes as distributed.

**Carried.**

**3.0 Business Arising from the Student Affairs Committee Minutes of  
February 22, 2021**

**4.0 New Business**

4.1 Code of Student Conduct: Gaps in Policies and Procedures

Chris Rogerson delivered a presentation, with an accompanying PowerPoint presentation, that provided additional background on the student code of conduct policy

## GFC: Student Affairs Committee

review process at MRU. The role of the Student Affairs Committee in upcoming consultation, drafting and approval processes was also discussed at length.

The current policy covers both non-academic and academic misconduct. On the basis of early stakeholder consultations, it is recommended that separate processes be developed for each form of misconduct. It is also recommended that the institution move away from the current tribunal model and towards an investigative model for misconduct. The committee discussed some of the ways in which an investigative model would differ for academic versus non-academic misconduct.

The presentation addressed town halls and surveys as potential vehicles for community consultation and timelines for the policy revision process.

A working group to guide the policy drafting process was created. The chair suggested the VP Academic and the VP Student Experience, SAMRU, would be good additions. Steve, Cynthia, Brian, and Michelle volunteered to participate in the working group as well.

### **5.0 Next Meeting**

April 19, 2021

### **6.0 Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 4:16pm.